

**POMERANTZ HAUDEK BLOCK GROSSMAN & GROSS LLP**

Pomerantz Haudek Block Grossman & Gross LLP (“Pomerantz Firm” or “Firm”) is one of the nation’s foremost specialists in corporate, securities, antitrust and ERISA class litigation. The Firm was founded by the late Abraham L. Pomerantz, one of the “pioneers who developed the class action/derivative action field.”<sup>1</sup> Mr. Pomerantz rose to national prominence as a “champion of the small investor” and a “battler against corporate skullduggery.”<sup>2</sup> Our success has been recognized in an analysis by Institutional Shareholder Services which ranked the Pomerantz Firm third in the country in 2005 for the highest average settlement amount achieved on behalf of clients.<sup>3</sup>

For over 70 years, the Firm has specialized in representing victims of securities frauds, breaches of fiduciary duty, corporate mismanagement, and price fixing conspiracies, as it continues the proud tradition established by Mr. Pomerantz. The Firm also has thriving ERISA, healthcare and consumer litigation practices and has set important precedents in these areas. The Firm is led by senior partner Stanley M. Grossman, a nationally prominent litigator. Mr. Grossman recently argued the *Stoneridge* case to the United States Supreme Court, which involves the important issue of whether “scheme liability” is actionable under the Section 10(b) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

Courts have consistently acknowledged the ability of the Pomerantz Firm to vigorously pursue the claims of class members. In granting the fee request in *In re Salomon Brothers Treasury*

---

<sup>1</sup> New York Law Journal (August 1, 1983).

<sup>2</sup> Robert J. Cole, *Class Action Dean*, The National Law Journal, Vol. 1 No. 2 at 1 (Sept. 25, 1978).

<sup>3</sup> Securities Class Action Services 50 for 2005.

*Litigation*, 91 Civ. 5471 (RPP) (S.D.N.Y.), where the Firm successfully negotiated a \$100 million settlement for the class in a complex antitrust and securities case, Judge Patterson stated:

I am going to approve the settlement, and I am going to approve the attorneys fees that you have requested with cost.

As I am doing it so summarily, does not mean I have not considered it at length. But it does not need that much consideration because I've observed the conduct of the attorneys involved here. They get the work done, and it was a tough one.

I think that there were a lot of people who thought there was going to be no recovery at all in this case.

Recently, in appointing Pomerantz Lead Counsel in *American Italian Pasta Co. Sec. Litig.* (W.D. Mo.), a class action alleging massive fraud and restatements spanning several years, the District Court observed that the Firm “has significant experience (and has been extremely effective) litigating securities class actions, employs highly qualified attorneys, and possesses ample resources to effectively manage the class litigation and protect the class’s interests.”

The Pomerantz Firm, as Lead Counsel, negotiated a \$146.25 million settlement in *In re Charter Communications Sec. Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 1506 (E.D. Mo.). Approving the settlement, the Court praised the Firm’s efforts: “This Court believes Lead Plaintiff achieved an excellent result in a complex action, where the risk of obtaining a significantly smaller recovery, if any, was substantial.” In awarding fees to the Pomerantz Firm, the Court cited “the vigor with which Lead Counsel . . . investigated claims, briefed the motions to dismiss, and negotiated the settlement . . .”

In approving the \$100 million settlement in *Snyder v. Nationwide Insurance Co.*, Index No. 97/0633 (N.Y. Supreme Court, Onondaga County), a case where the Pomerantz Firm served as co-lead counsel, Judge Tormey stated, “It was a pleasure to work with you. This is a good result. You’ve got some great attorneys working on it.”

In *Sherleigh Associates Inc. Profit Sharing Plan v. COHR, Inc.*, No. 98-3028-JSL (BQRx) (C.D. Cal.), where as co-lead, the Pomerantz Firm helped obtained a substantial settlement, the court stated: “This is a good job. I don’t always think all jobs are good. This one is a good job.” And in *In re Wiring Devices Antitrust Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 331 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 9, 1980), where the Firm was again lead counsel, Chief Judge Jack B. Weinstein stated:

Counsel for the plaintiffs I think did an excellent job . . . . They are outstanding and skillful. The litigation was and is extremely complex. They assumed a great deal of responsibility. They recovered a very large amount given the possibility of no recovery here which was in my opinion substantial.

Certifying a class in a securities fraud action against analysts in *DeMarco v. Robertson Stephens*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS (S.D.N.Y. 2005), Judge Lynch stated that the Pomerantz Firm had “ably and zealously represented the interests of the class.” Numerous courts have agreed with that assessment. *See, e.g., Steinberg v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co.*, 99 CV 7725 (E.D.N.Y. 2004) (Judge Spatt, in granting class certification and appointing the Firm as class counsel, observed: “The Pomerantz firm has a strong reputation as class counsel and has demonstrated its competence to serve as class counsel in this motion for class certification.” 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17669 at \*24); *Mercury Savings and Loan*, CV 90-87 LHM (C.D. Cal. 1995) (Judge McLaughlin commended the Firm for the “absolutely extraordinary job in this litigation.”); *Boardwalk Marketplace Securities Litigation*, MDL No. 712 (D. Conn.) (Judge Eginton described the Firm’s services as “exemplary,” praised it for its “usual fine job of lawyering...[in] an extremely complex matter,” and concluded that the case was “very well-handled and managed.” Tr. at 6, 5/20/92; Tr. at 10, 10/10/92); *Nodar v. Weksel*, 84 Civ. 3870 (S.D.N.Y.) (Judge Broderick acknowledged “that the services rendered [by the Pomerantz Firm] were excellent services from the point of view of the class represented, [and]

the result was an excellent result . . . .” Tr. at 21-22, 12/27/90); *Klein v. A.G. Becker Paribas, Inc.*, 83 Civ. 6456 (S.D.N.Y. 1987) (Judge Goettel complimented the Firm for providing “excellent . . . absolutely top-drawer representation for the class, particularly in light of the vigorous defense offered by the defense firm.” (Tr. at 22, 3/6/87)); *Digital Securities Litigation*, 83-3255Y (D. Mass.) (Judge Young lauded the Firm for its “[v]ery fine lawyering” (Tr. at 13, 9/18/86); *Shelter Realty Corp. v. Allied Maintenance Corp.*, 75 F.R.D. 34, 40 (S.D.N.Y. 1977) (Judge Frankel, referring to Pomerantz, said: “Their experience in handling class actions of this nature is known to the court and certainly puts to rest any doubt that the absent class members will receive the quality of representation to which they are entitled.”); *Rauch v. Bilzerian*, 88 Civ. 15624 (Sup. Ct. N.J. 1991) (The Court referred to the partners from the Pomerantz Firm who had tried the case as “exceptionally competent counsel,” and as having provided “top drawer, topflight [representation], certainly as good as I’ve seen in my stay on this court.”).

Pomerantz has a long legacy of setting important precedents. Most recently, the Firm was before the Supreme Court on an issue of critical importance — whether scheme liability is actionable under § 10(b) and Rule 10b-5(a) and (c). *See Stoneridge Investment Partners v. Scientific-Atlanta*, No. 06-43 (2007). Among the many important reported decisions obtained on behalf of the Pomerantz Firm’s clients are: *EBC I, Inc. v. Goldman Sachs & Co.*, 2005 N.Y. LEXIS 1178 (NY Court of Appeals, June 7, 2005); *Ross v. Bernhard*, 396 U.S. 531 (1970); *Kronfeld v. TWA*, 832 F.2d 726 (2d Cir. 1987); *In re Summit Medical Systems Secs. Litig.*, 294 F.3d 969, 978 (8th Cir. 2002); *Wool v. Tandem, Inc.*, 818 F.2d 1433 (9th Cir. 1987); *Ross v. Bernhard*, 397 U.S. 531 (1970); *Rosenfeld v. Black*, 445 F.2d 1337 (2d Cir. 1971); *Moses v. Burgin*, 445 F.2d 369 (1st Cir. 1971); *Pearlman v. Feldmann*, 219 F.2d 173 (2d Cir. 1955); *In re Comverse Technology Inc. Sec. Litig.*,

2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14878 (E.D.N.Y. 2007); *DeMarco v. Robertson Stephens*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2005 (S.D.N.Y. 2005); *Salomon Analyst AT&T Litig.*, 350 F. Supp. 2d 455 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (GEL); *DeMarco v. Robertson Stephens*, 318 F. Supp. 2d 110 (S.D.N.Y. 2004); *In re Green Tree Fin. Corp. Options Litig.*, No. 97-2679, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13986 (D. Minn. July 29, 2002); *Drolet v. Healthsource, Inc.*, 968 F. Supp. 757 (D.N.H. 1997); *In re Texas International Company Sec. Litig.*, [1988-89 Decisions] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 94,125 (W.D. Okla. 1988); and *Fisher v. Kletz*, 266 F. Supp. 180 (S.D.N.Y. 1967).

Moreover, among the class and shareholder derivative actions in which the Pomerantz Firm was lead or co-lead counsel are:

*In re Salomon Analysts AT&T Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$74.75 million recovery).

*In re Charter Communications, Inc. Secs. Litig.*, M.D.L. Docket No. 1506 (E.D. Mo. 2005) (\$146.25 million recovery);

*In re Elan Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 02-CV-0865(RMB) (S.D.N.Y. 2005) (\$75 million recovery);

*In re Safety-Kleen Corp. Stockholders Litigation*, C.A. No. 3:00-CV-736-17 (D.S.C. 2004) (\$54.5 million recovery);

*In re Summit Metals, Inc. v. Gray*, No., 98-2870 (D. Del. 2004) (\$43 million judgment and required turnover of the stock of two corporations);

*In re Livent, Inc. Noteholders Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (\$17.25 million aggregate settlements; \$23 million additional judgment);

*In re Methionine Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. C-99-3491-CRB, MDL No. 1311 (N.D. Cal. 2002) (\$107 million recovery);

*In re Sorbates Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, C98-4886 Cal (N.D. Cal. 2000) (over \$82 million recovery);

*Snyder v. Nationwide Insurance Co.*, Index No. 97/0633 (Supreme Court, N.Y., Onondaga County 1998) (\$100 million recovery);

*In re First Executive Corporation Securities Litigation*, CV-89-7135 DT (Kx) (C.D. Cal. 1994) (\$102 million partial recovery);

*In re Salomon Brothers Treasury Litigation*, 91 Civ. 5471 (RPP) (S.D.N.Y. 1994) (\$100 million recovery);

*Mardean Duckworth v. Country Life Insurance Co.*, No. 98 CH 01046 (C.D. Ill. 2000) (\$45 million recovery);

*In re National Health Laboratories, Inc. Securities*, CV-92-1949-H (CM) (S.D. Cal. 1995) (\$64 million recovery);

*In re Boardwalk Marketplace Securities Litigation*, M.D.L. Docket No. 712 (D. Conn. 1994) (over \$66 million benefit);

*In re Woolworth Corporation Securities Class Action Litigation*, 94 Civ. 2217 (RO) (S.D.N.Y. 1997) (recovery of \$20 million);

*Frank v. Paul* (CenTrust Savings Bank Securities Litigation), 90-0084-CIV (S.D. Fla. 1996) (\$20 million recovery);

*Wallace v. Fox* Docket No. 3:96 - CV - 00772 (PCD) (D. Conn. 1997) (Northeast Utilities Shareholder Derivative Action) (\$25 million recovery);

*Hurley v. FDIC*, Civil Action No. 88-1940-T (D. Mass. 1992) (\$29 million judgment after trial against two former officers of First Service Bank for Savings);

*In re Ocean Drilling & Exploration Company Shareholders Litigation*, Civ. No. 11898 (Del. Ch. 1991) (\$38 million cash benefit);

*In re Telerate, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, Civ. 1115 (Del. Ch. 1989) (\$95 million benefit).

*In re AM International, Inc. Securities Litigation*, M-21-31, MDL Docket No. 494 (S.D.N.Y. 1987) (\$23 million recovery);

*In re Data Point Securities Litigation*, SA-82-CA-338 (W.D. Tex. 1987) (\$28.4 million recovery); and

*In re National Student Marketing Securities Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 105 (D.D.C. 1983) (\$35 million recovery);

Brief biographies of the Firm's lawyers are provided below:

**ROBERT J. AXELROD**

Robert J. Axelrod is a partner at Pomerantz. Mr. Axelrod practices securities, antitrust, and insurance litigation. As a member of the Firm's insurance practice group, Mr. Axelrod currently is prosecuting actions on behalf of clients including the American Medical Association, the American Dental Association, and the Medical Society of the State of New York, against such insurers as United Healthcare Corporation, CIGNA, Aetna, Oxford, Health Net, Inc., and Nationwide. Mr. Axelrod was on the successful trial team in the action against American Medical Security. Additional healthcare cases that Mr. Axelrod has litigated include *American Medical Association v. United Healthcare Corporation*, *American Dental Association v. Aetna, Inc.*, and *Wachtel v. Health Net Inc.* He also has been involved in the prosecution of numerous securities and antitrust actions.

Mr. Axelrod serves as a member of the Class Action Committee of the New York State Bar Association. He is a member of the Temple University College of Liberal Arts Alumni Board, and serves as a trustee and General Counsel of Temple Beth El in Huntington, New York.

Mr. Axelrod is admitted in United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second and Third Circuits.

**DANIEL L. BERGER**

Daniel L. Berger graduated from Haverford College in 1976, and Columbia Law School in 1979 where he was a Stone Scholar.

Mr. Berger joined the Firm as a partner in September 2006. Previously, Mr. Berger was a senior partner of Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann, where he litigated complex securities and

discrimination class actions for 22 years. While at that firm, Mr. Berger tried two 10b-5 securities class actions to jury verdicts, among the very few such cases ever tried. He served as principal lead counsel in many of the largest securities litigations in history, achieving successful recoveries for classes of investors in cases including *In re Cendant Corp. Sec. Litig.*, (D. N.J.) (\$3.3 Billion); *In re Lucent Technologies, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (D. N.J.) (\$675 Million); *In re Bristol-Myers Squibb Sec. Litig.*, (S.D.N.Y.) (\$300 Million); *In re Daimler Chrysler A.G. Sec. Litig.*, (D. Del.) (\$300 Million); *In re Conseco, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, (S.D. Ind.) (\$120 Million); *In re Symbol Technologies Sec. Litig.*, (E.D.N.Y.) (\$139 Million); and *In re OM Group Sec. Litig.*, (N.D. Ohio) (\$92 Million). Mr. Berger has successfully argued several appeals that made new law favorable to investors, including *In re Suprema Specialties, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 438 F.3d 256 (3d Cir. 2005); *McCall v. Scott*, 250 F.3d 997 (6th Cir. 2001) and *Fine v. American Solar King Corp.*, 919 F.2d 290 (5th Cir. 1990). Mr. Berger also was lead class counsel in several important discrimination class actions, in particular *Roberts v. Texaco, Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.), where he represented African-American employees of Texaco and achieved the largest settlement (\$175 Million) of a race discrimination class action.

Mr. Berger's work has received praise from many federal courts. For example, in commenting on the settlement achieved in *McCall v. Scott*, one of the most significant recoveries in a derivative action, the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee wrote:

[The settlement] confers an exceptional benefit upon the company and the shareholders by way of the corporate governance plan....Counsel's excellent qualifications and reputations are well documented in the record, and they have litigated this complex case adeptly and tenaciously throughout the six years it has been pending. They assumed an enormous risk and have shown great patience by taking this case on a contingent basis, and despite an early setback they have persevered and brought about not only a large cash settlement but sweeping corporate reforms that may be invaluable to the beneficiaries.



In addition, Mr. Berger's work as lead trial counsel in *In re ICN/Viratek Sec. Litig.* was noted by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, which said:

“[P]laintiffs' counsel did a superb job here on behalf of the class. . . This was a very hard fought case. You had very able, superb opponents, and they put you to your task. . . The trial work was beautifully done and I believe very efficiently done. . .

Mr. Berger was a member of the Board of Managers of Haverford College from 2000-2003 and currently serves on the Board of Managers of Columbia Law School. He served on the Board of inMotion, Inc., a non-profit organization providing legal services to victims of domestic violence, for six years, and currently is a member of the Board of Madison Square Park Association.

Mr. Berger is admitted in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Ninth and Tenth Circuits.

#### **MICHAEL M. BUCHMAN**

Michael Buchman joined the Firm as a partner in 2007. Mr. Buchman has litigated complex antitrust and consumer protection class actions for over ten years. Mr. Buchman served as an Assistant Attorney General in the Antitrust Bureau of the New York State Attorney General's Office. He has an advanced degree in international antitrust and trade law from Fordham University School of Law.

Mr. Buchman has extensive experience litigating complex commercial matters in federal and state courts. Over the past seven years, he has spearheaded an effort to challenge anticompetitive conduct by pharmaceutical companies designed to artificially inflate the price of brand name prescription drugs. He has served as co-lead counsel in a number of “generic drug” cases, including

*In re Buspirone Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1413 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$90m settlement); *In re Relafen Antitrust Litig.*, 01-12222-WGY (D. Mass.) (\$75m settlement); and *In re Augmentin Antitrust Litig.*, 02 Civ. 445 (E.D. Va. Norfolk Div.) (\$29m settlement). Some of the settlements obtained by Mr. Buchman have resulted in substantial “cy pres” monetary awards for well known charitable organizations. For example, in *Augmentin*, awards exceeding \$100,000 were donated on a “cy pres” basis to St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital and Children’s Rights of New York. Mr. Buchman also has extensive experience in other areas of antitrust law. For example, he was actively involved in *In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1023 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$1.027b) and *In re Visa Check/Mastermoney Antitrust Litigation*, CV 96-5238 (E.D.N.Y.) (\$3b), two of the largest antitrust settlements ever reached.

Courts have acknowledged the high quality of Mr. Buchman’s litigation efforts. For example, former Chief Judge William G. Young noted in *Relafen*, where Mr. Buchman was a co-lead, “[t]his proposed settlement is the result of a great deal of very fine lawyering . . .” Similarly, in *Buspar*, where he also was a co-lead, Judge John G. Koeltl stated: “Let me say that the lawyers in this case have done a stupendous job. They really have.” His “tenacious and skillful” work as a co-lead was also recognized by Judge Lewis A. Kaplan of the Southern District of New York in an international antitrust class action brought on behalf of foreign purchasers and sellers of works of art sold at auction by Christie’s and Sotheby’s which resulted in a \$40 million settlement.

Mr. Buchman frequently speaks on antitrust and consumer protection class action issues. In 2002, he appeared on the *CBS Evening News* and spoke about generic drug litigations. That same year, he presented at the Practicing Law Institute’s 10th Annual Consumer Financial Services Litigation on Recent Developments in State Unfair Deceptive Acts and Practices Statutes and Private

Attorney General Litigation. On February 7, 2007, he spoke at the 4th National In-House Counsel Conference on Managing Complex Litigation on class certification. Mr. Buchman has also authored or co-authored articles on procedure or competition law, including a Task Force on Dealer Terminations for The Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Committee on Antitrust and Trade Regulation entitled *Dealer Termination in New York* dated June 1, 1998, and *What's in a Name — the Diversity Death-Knell for Underwriters of Lloyd's of London and their Names; Humm v. Lombard World Trade, Inc.*, Vol. 4, Issue 10 International Insurance Law Review 314 (1996).

He is admitted in the states of Connecticut and New York and the following federal courts: United States Supreme Court, United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, United States District Courts for the Districts of Arizona and Connecticut, United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the United States Court of International Trade.

### **PATRICK V. DAHLSTROM**

Mr. Dahlstrom is a 1987 graduate of the Washington College of Law at American University in Washington, D.C., where he was a Dean's Fellow, Editor-in-Chief of the Administrative Law Journal, a member of the Moot Court Board representing Washington College of Law in the New York County Bar Association's Antitrust Moot Court Competition, and a member of the Vietnam Veterans of America Legal Services/Public Interest Law Clinic.

Upon graduating, Mr. Dahlstrom served as the Pro Se Staff Attorney for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York and was a law clerk to the Honorable Joan M. Azrack, United States Magistrate Judge. He joined the Pomerantz Firm as an associate in the Fall of 1991 and became a partner in January 1996. Mr. Dahlstrom is the resident partner in the Pomerantz Firm's Chicago Office.

Recently, in *In re Comverse Technology Sec. Litig.*, 2007 U.S. LEXIS 14878 (E.D.N.Y. 2007), Mr. Dahlstrom obtained important clarification regarding the calculation of the “largest financial interest” in connection with the selection of a lead plaintiff, in a manner consistent with *Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo*, 544 U.S. 336 (2005). In *DeMarco v. Robertson Stephens*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS (S.D.N.Y. 2005), he obtained the first class certification in a federal securities case involving fraud by analysts. Some examples of other notable litigations by Mr. Dahlstrom are *In re Safety-Kleen Stockholders Securities Litigation*, 3:00-736-17 (D. S.C.) (as co-lead counsel, Firm obtained \$54.5 million settlement); *In re Livent, Inc. Noteholders Securities Litigation*, 98 Civ. 7161 (VM) (S.D.N.Y.) (Firm, as sole-lead, obtained \$17 million settlement, plus \$23 million judgment); and *In re Woolworth Corporation Securities Class Action Litigation*, 94 Civ. 2217 (RO) (S.D.N.Y.) (Firm, as co-lead counsel, secured a \$20 million settlement). Mr. Dahlstrom was also co-class counsel and a member of the trial team in *In re ICN/Viratek Securities Litigation*, 87 Civ. 4296 (KMW) (S.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Dahlstrom is admitted in the state courts of New York and Illinois, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Northern District of Illinois, the Northern District of Indiana, the Eastern District of Wisconsin, the District of Colorado, the Western District of Pennsylvania, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Fourth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

### **MARC I. GROSS**

Marc I. Gross has been associated with the Firm since 1976 and became a partner in 1984. He graduated from New York University Law School in 1976 and received his undergraduate degree from Columbia University in 1973.

Mr. Gross has extensive experience in litigating class (securities, antitrust and consumer) and derivative actions. He is sole or co-lead counsel in many of the Firm's major pending cases, including *Stoneridge Investment Partners v. Scientific-Atlanta* (oral argument completed, decision by United States Supreme Court pending), and has won rulings highly favorable to plaintiffs.

Mr. Gross has obtained numerous large recoveries. For example, he was sole Lead Counsel in *In re Charter Communications Inc. Sec. Litig.*, MDL No. 1506 E.D. Mo. (CAS), a case where, as described above, the Court lauded the Firm's efforts in obtaining a \$146.25 million settlement. He was also co-lead counsel in *Snyder v. Nationwide Insurance Co.*, Index No. 97/0633 (N.Y. Supreme Court, Onondaga County), which resulted in a settlement valued at \$100 million for defrauded life insurance policy customers in New York. In approving the settlement, Judge Tormey stated:

The Court approves the settlement in all respects. It is so ordered, and I compliment you all, not only the manner in which you arrived at this result today, but the time that you -- in which it was done. And I think you all did a very, very good job for all the people. You made attorneys look good. I thank you very much. It was nice working with you all.

Mr. Gross was the attorney in charge of *Texas Int'l Co. Sec. Litig.* (W.D. Okla.), where, in granting class certification, the Court observed:

The performance of plaintiffs' counsel thus far leaves the Court with no doubt that plaintiffs' claims will be vigorously and satisfactorily prosecuted throughout the course of this litigation.

In the course of approving the subsequent settlement of the case, the Court added:

I would like to compliment all the parties and attorneys in this case. . . . You have all worked together better than I think any case I've had that involved these extensive issues and parties and potential problems. And I for one appreciate it. And I think it shows certainly a great deal of professionalism on all your part.

Other examples of Mr. Gross' representation as sole or co-lead counsel are: *In re Elan Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 02-CV-865 (RMB)(FM) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$75 million settlement); *In re Salomon Analysts AT&T Litig.* (\$74.75 million settlement) (S.D.N.Y.); *In re National Health Labs., Inc. Securities*, CV-92-1949-H (CM) (S.D.Cal. 1995) (\$64 million recovery); *Mardean Duckworth v. Country Life Insurance Co.*, No. 98 CH 01046 (C.D.Ill. 2000) (\$45 million settlement), and *Frank v. Paul (Centrust Savings Bank Securities Litigation)*, 93 Civ. 1453 (TCP) (E.D.N.Y. 1996) (over \$20 million recovery).

Mr. Gross has been a member of the New York City Bar Association's Federal Courts Committee, an early neutral evaluator for the Eastern District of New York, and a mediator for the Commercial Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York. He is currently a Vice President of the Institute of Law and Economic Policy ("ILEP"), a not-for profit organization devoted to promoting academic research and dialogue in securities law issues and litigation. At the 2006 ILEP Conference, Mr. Gross was a commentator on a panel discussing Sarbanes-Oxley governance issues. He was Treasurer of the National Association of Securities and Commercial Law Trial Attorneys. He was a guest panelist for a Spring 1998 conference on "Courts on Trial" sponsored by the Institute of Law and Economics and held at the University of Arizona Law School, and is the author of "*Complex Litigation at the Millennium Loser-Pays - or Whose Fault' Is It Anyway: A Response to Hensler-Rowe's 'Beyond It Just Ain't Worth It'*", which appeared in the 64 *Law & Contemporary Problems* (Duke Law School) (2001).

Mr. Gross has also served as Chairman of Neighbors Helping Neighbors, a not-for-profit housing group based in Brooklyn, New York that is affiliated with the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation.

Mr. Gross is admitted in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern, Northern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Eastern and Western Districts of Missouri, District of Nebraska, District of Arizona, the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third and Eighth Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

**STANLEY M. GROSSMAN**

Stanley M. Grossman, the senior partner of the Pomerantz Firm, was featured in the New York Law Journal (August 1, 1983) article: “*Top Litigators in Securities Field -- A Who's Who of City's Leading Courtroom Combatants.*” He has been with the Pomerantz Firm since February 1969, and has been a member of the Firm since 1976. Throughout this period, he has mainly represented plaintiffs in securities and antitrust class actions, including many of those listed in the firm biography. Recently, he appeared before the United States Supreme Court to argue that scheme liability is actionable under Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5(a) and (c). *See Stoneridge Investment Partners v. Scientific-Atlanta*, No. 06-43 (2007). Other examples of cases where he was the lead or co-lead counsel for plaintiffs and the class include: *In re Salomon Brothers Treasury Litigation*, 91 Civ. 5471 (RPP)(S.D.N.Y. 1994) (\$100 million cash recovery); *In re First Executive Corporation Securities Litigation*, CV-89-7135 DT (Kx)(C.D. Cal. 1994) (\$100 million settlement); *In re Sorbates Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, C98-4886 CAL (N.D. Cal. 2000) (over \$80 million settlement for the class).

Senior Judge Milton Pollack of the Southern District of New York appointed Mr. Grossman to the Executive Committee of counsel charged with allocating to claimants hundreds of millions of dollars obtained in settlements with Drexel Burnham & Co. and Michael Milken.

Many courts have acknowledged the high quality of the legal representation provided by Mr. Grossman to investors. For example, in *Gartenberg v. Merrill Lynch Asset Management, Inc.*, 79 Civ. 3123 (S.D.N.Y.), where Mr. Grossman was lead trial counsel for plaintiff, Judge Pollack noted at the completion of the:

[I] can fairly say, having remained abreast of the law on the factual and legal matters that have been presented, that I know of no case that has been better presented so as to give the Court an opportunity to reach a determination, for which the court thanks you.

Mr. Grossman has lectured to the profession on various occasions under the auspices of the Southern Federal Securities Institute, Columbia University School of Law, Duke University Law School, University of Arizona Law School, Brooklyn Law School, ALI-ABA, PLI, the New York State Bar Association, and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. Mr. Grossman is the author of “Commentary: The Social Meaning of Shareholder Suits,” 65 BROOKLYN LAW REV. (1999), among other articles.

Mr. Grossman has been active in numerous professional organizations. He is the former president of the National Association of Securities Attorneys (“NASCAT”) — an organization of attorneys specializing in securities class action litigation. During his tenure, he represented NASCAT in meetings with the Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, members of Congress and of the Executive Branch in furnishing input and commentary on legislation which became the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (“PSLRA”). In the summer of 1998, at the invitation of Chairman of the Judiciary Committee Henry Hyde, Mr. Grossman testified before Congress on proposed legislation dealing with “federalization of state class actions.” Subsequently, Mr. Grossman was asked to participate with Congressional counsel in drafting proposed legislation.



Mr. Grossman presently serves as a vice president and adviser of the Institute for Law and Economic Policy (“ILEP”), which is a public policy research and educational foundation — established to preserve, study and enhance access to the civil justice system by all consumers. He is also a member of the United States Advisory Board of the Institute for Consumer Antitrust Studies at Loyola University Chicago. Additionally, he is on the Advisory Committee for the Abraham L. Pomerantz Lectures at Brooklyn Law School.

He is currently a member of the Judiciary Committee of the New York City Bar Association. Previously he served on the Association’s Committee on Professional and Judicial Ethics; State Courts of Superior Jurisdiction; and Trade and Antitrust. He is also a member of the Litigation Section dealing with class actions at the American Bar Association.

Mr. Grossman is actively involved in local and national civic affairs. In June, 1999, he was appointed by the New York City Bar Association to chair a special Blue Ribbon Commission on the future of the City University of New York. Upon the publication of the Commission’s Report, the President of the Association described it as “insightful, measured and persuasive . . . a striking example of the very best of what this Association can do.”

He is a director of the Lincoln Center Institute for the Arts in Education, as well as a member of the Appleseed Foundation, a national public interest advocacy group. In addition, he is a member of the AFL-CIO Center for Working Capital’s National Advisory Council of Employee Benefit Professionals.

Mr. Grossman is admitted in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern, and Eastern Districts of New York, Central District of California, Eastern District of Wisconsin,

District of Arizona, District of Colorado, the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

**CHERYL HAMER MACKELL**

Cheryl Hamer Mackell joined the Firm in February 2003 to head up its Washington, D.C. office and became a partner in January 2007. She is a 1973 graduate of Columbia University and a 1983 graduate of Lincoln University Law School. She studied tax law at Golden Gate University and holds a Certificate in Journalism from New York University. As a member of the Firm's Institutional Practice Group, Ms. Mackell is part of the litigation team in *In re American Italian Pasta Co. Sec. Litig.*, (W.D. Mo. Filed Aug. 12, 2005) and *In re Symbol Technologies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, (E.D.N.Y. filed Aug. 16, 2005).

Before joining Pomerantz, Ms. Mackell served as of counsel to nationally known securities class action law firms focusing on plaintiff securities fraud litigation. In private practice for over 20 years, she has litigated, at both the state and federal levels, RICO, Continuing Criminal Enterprise, death penalty and civil rights cases. She has authored numerous criminal writs and appeals.

She was an Adjunct Professor at Pace University, Dyson College of Arts and Sciences, Criminal Justice Program and The Graduate School of Public Administration, where she taught Non-Profit Corporate Law, from 1996 to 1998. She is a member of the American Bar Association's Litigation and Individual Rights Sections, the Corporate, Finance & Securities Law Section of the District of Columbia Bar.

Ms. Mackell is admitted in California, the District of Columbia and New Mexico, United States District Court for the Northern, Southern, Eastern and Central Districts of California, the District of New Mexico, the District of Columbia, the United States Courts of Appeals for the

Second, Third, Fourth, Seventh, Ninth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

#### **D. BRIAN HUFFORD**

D. Brian Hufford joined the Pomerantz Firm in April 1993 and became a partner in July 1995. After obtaining a Masters of Urban Affairs from Wichita State University in 1982, Mr. Hufford attended the Yale Law School, where he was Notes and Topics Editor for the *Yale Law and Policy Review* and was awarded the Thomas I. Emerson Prize for the Outstanding Legislative Services Project. Graduating from Yale in 1985, Mr. Hufford subsequently spent two years in Washington, D.C. as an Honors Attorney in the United States Department of the Treasury's Honors Law Program. From 1987 until he joined the Firm in 1993, he was a litigation associate at Davis Polk & Wardwell, where he worked primarily on securities and class actions. His article "*Detering Fraud vs. Avoiding the Strike Suit: Reaching An Appropriate Balance*," was published in 61 *Brooklyn Law Rev.* 593 (Summer 1995).

At the Pomerantz Firm, Mr. Hufford has prosecuted not only a number of securities and antitrust cases, but is also the attorney in charge of the Firm's healthcare and consumer practice. Mr. Hufford successfully argued before the New York Appellate Division in *Batas v. Prudential*, 281 A.D.2d 260, 724 N.Y.S.2d 3 (1st Dep't 2001), in which the court upheld claims that Prudential relied on improper procedures for the determination of medical necessity in its health insurance contracts. Mr. Hufford also successfully argued *Drolet v. Healthsource, Inc.*, 968 F. Supp. 757 (D.N.H. 1997), in which the court upheld the charge that the defendant breached fiduciary duties under ERISA by misrepresenting the financial incentives it paid to physicians to reduce medical expenditures. In addition, Mr. Hufford received a successful decision upholding claims against United Healthcare in

*American Medical Association v. United Healthcare Corp.*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20309 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 23, 2002), where plaintiffs claimed that the defendant relied on an improper database for determining “usual, customary and reasonable” fees for the purpose of reimbursing subscribers for services received from out-of-network health care providers. Moreover, Mr. Hufford was the partner in charge of *Addison v. American Medical Security*, Case No. CA 001455-AB (Cir. Ct., Palm Beach Cty., Fla.), in which plaintiffs won a two-week bench trial, with the Court finding in March 2002 that the defendant had violated Florida law by, among other things, improperly raising health care premiums based on an individual’s health history.

Mr. Hufford has written and lectured in the area of healthcare litigation. Further, he was featured in the book *Net Law: How Lawyers Use the Internet*, by Paul Jacobsen (Jan. 1997), which discusses how he has used the Internet to investigate some of the firm’s pending class actions.

Mr. Hufford is admitted in New York and the United States District Courts of the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

#### **H. ADAM PRUSSIN**

H. Adam Prussin joined the Firm as of counsel in June 2000, and became a partner in January 2002. He graduated *cum laude* from Yale College in 1969, and after obtaining a Masters Degree from the University of Michigan in 1971, he received his J.D. from Harvard Law School in 1974.

In addition to securities litigation, Mr. Prussin has extensive experience in derivative actions. He has published several articles on the subject of the standards and procedures for obtaining dismissal of shareholder derivative actions, including “*Termination of Derivative Suits Against Directors on Business Judgement Grounds: From Zapata to Aronson*,” 39 *The Business Lawyer*

1503, 1984; “*Dismissal of Derivative Actions Under the Business Judgement Rule: Zapata One Year Later*,” 38 *The Business Lawyer* 401, 1983; and “*The Business Judgement Rule and Shareholder Derivative Actions: Viva Zapata?*,” 37 *The Business Lawyer* 27, 1981.

Mr. Prussin was special litigation counsel in *Summit Metals, Inc. v. Gray*, a derivative action which resulted in entry of a judgment, after trial, of \$43 million in cash, plus an order transferring the stock of two multi-million-dollar companies to the plaintiff. He was also one of the lead lawyers in *In re Livent Noteholders Securities Litigation*. Mr. Prussin is co-lead counsel in several of the Firm’s pending derivative actions.

Before joining the Firm, Mr. Prussin was a named partner in Silverman, Harnes, Harnes, Prussin & Keller, which specializes in representing plaintiffs in shareholder derivative and class action litigation, particularly those involving self-dealing by corporate officers, directors and controlling shareholders. Mr. Prussin played a key role in several landmark derivative cases in the Delaware courts, and has appeared frequently before the Delaware Supreme Court.

Before joining Silverman, Harnes in 1994, Mr. Prussin was of counsel to Weil, Gotshal & Manges. While there, he represented numerous corporate defendants in shareholder derivative actions and class actions, and also in general commercial, bankruptcy and antitrust disputes.

Mr. Prussin is admitted in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

**J. DOUGLAS RICHARDS**

J. Douglas Richards joined Pomerantz in March 2007 as a partner specializing in antitrust class actions. He served for more than two years as Deputy General Counsel of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, where he supervised numerous attorneys in the Office of General Counsel and managed more than 35 appeals in the United States Courts of Appeals. Mr. Richards was responsible for the management of all litigation by and against that federal agency, and was the recipient of a Special Act or Service Award for his contributions to the agency. Prior to that time, he was a litigation partner for more than eight years with O'Sullivan Graev & Karabell (which merged into O'Melveny & Myers in 2002 and became its New York office). While with O'Sullivan he participated in diverse commercial litigation including antitrust cases such as *In re Beer Industry Antitrust Litigation*, 86 CV 2400 (E.D.N.Y.), in which he was a lead trial counsel for defendant the Stroh Brewery Company and successfully obtained a directed verdict for it after a two-week jury trial. Mr. Richards has broad experience with the litigation of antitrust and trade regulation matters at the trial and appellate levels, and from the differing perspectives of plaintiffs and defendants as well as those of regulatory authorities.

Mr. Richards has especially extensive experience in the successful prosecution of antitrust class actions. He was co-lead counsel for the plaintiffs in a class action against Microsoft in New York state court, which resulted in a settlement providing benefits of more than \$160 million for New York consumers and needy public schools. He was co-lead counsel in *In re Buspirone Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1410 (S.D.N.Y.), which led to a \$90 million settlement and in which presiding Judge Koeltl stated that the plaintiffs' attorneys had done "a stupendous job." He was co-lead counsel in *In re Relafen Antitrust Litig.*, No. 01-12239 (D. Mass.), which led to a \$75 million

settlement and in which presiding Judge Young stated that the settlement was “the result of a great deal of very fine lawyering.” He was co-lead counsel for international customers of Christie’s and Sotheby’s in connection with class action claims against them for price-fixing, in connection with which presiding Judge Kaplan observed that the representation of the plaintiffs had been “tenacious and skillful,” and in which a \$40 million settlement was achieved for foreign auction house customers. He also made a substantial contribution to the record-setting recovery of more than \$3 billion in the antitrust class action against Visa and Mastercard in *In re Visa Check/Mastermoney Antitrust Litig.*, CV-96-5238 (E.D.N.Y.), which is the largest antitrust settlement in the over 100 year history of the Sherman Act. Other prominent class actions in which he has argued dispositive motions and served as lead or co-lead counsel include *In re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litig.*, 363 F. Supp. 2d 514 (E.D.N.Y. 2005), *In re K-Dur Antitrust Litig.*, 338 F. Supp. 2d 517 (D. N.J. 2004), and *In re Wellbutrin SR/Zyban Antitrust Litig.*, 281 F. Supp. 2d 751 (E.D. Pa. 2003).

In his career Mr. Richards has argued more than twenty-five appeals in the federal and state courts of appeals, including more than a dozen appeals in the Second Circuit. In recent years, he has argued appeals on several cutting-edge issues of antitrust law. He argued to the United States Supreme Court in *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, No. 05-1126, a case governing pleading requirements for antitrust conspiracy cases. He participated in 2007 in the briefing to the Supreme Court in *Credit Suisse v. Billing*, No. 05-1157, a case concerning the scope of operation of antitrust law in regulated industries. He also has argued such leading appellate cases as *In re Tamoxifen Citrate Antitrust Litig.*, 429 F.3d 370 (2d Cir. 2005), *JLM Industries, Inc. v. Stolt-Nielsen SA*, 387 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2004), *Kruman v. Christie’s Int’l PLC*, 284 F.3d 384 (2d Cir. 2002), and *Cox v. Microsoft Corp.*, 778 N.Y.S.2d 147 (1st Dep’t 2004).

Mr. Richards also has been a frequent speaker on issues of antitrust law. On Nov. 9, 2006, he was a speaker along with United States District Judge Todd Rakoff, former FTC Commissioner Tom Leary and David Copeland of Kaye Scholer at Federal Bar Council presentation in the Southern District of New York courthouse, titled "Antitrust Issues in Patent Litigation Settlements: the Divergent Views of Federal Courts and Agencies." On Sept. 14, 2006, he was a speaker in Federalist Society presentation at NYU Law School, titled "Does Procedure Dominate Substance? Of Class Actions and Pretrial Motions." On June 21, 2005, he was a speaker, along with United States District Judge Thomas Penfield Jackson, Professor Eleanor Fox of NYU Law School and Commissioner Jon Leibowitz of the FTC, at the annual symposium of the American Antitrust Institute titled "Thinking Creatively About Antitrust Remedies." He also recently authored *What Makes An Antitrust Class Action Remedy Successful?: A Tale of Two Settlements*, 80 Tulane L. Rev. 621 (2005).

Mr. Richards is admitted in New York, as well as the United States District Court for the Northern, Eastern, Southern and Western Districts of New York, the District of Connecticut, all of the Circuits of the United States Courts of Appeals, and the United States Supreme Court.

#### **SHAHEEN RUSHD**

Shaheen Rushd graduated *summa cum laude* from New York Law School in 1981 and obtained her undergraduate degree from Kalamazoo College in 1977 (*magna cum laude*; elected to Phi Beta Kappa).

Ms. Rushd joined the Firm as an associate in January 1983 and became a partner in July 1991. Previously, Ms. Rushd was a staff attorney at the New York Regional Office of the Federal



Trade Commission and served as law clerk to the Honorable Leonard I. Garth, Circuit Court Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Ms. Rushd specializes in securities and antitrust class actions. She has participated in the litigation of many of the Firm's major cases, including *Stoneridge Investment Partners v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.*, *EBC I, Inc. v. Goldman Sachs & Co.*, *Kronfeld v. TWA*, and *In re Safety-Kleen Corp. Stockholders Litigation*. She was also part of the successful trial teams in *Walsh v. Northrop Grumman, et al.*, CV-94-5105 (E.D.N.Y.) and *Rauch v. Bilzerian*, 88 Civ. 15624 (Sup. Ct. N.J.).

Ms. Rushd was a trustee of Kalamazoo College from 1996 through June 2002. She has served as an Adjunct Instructor at New York Law School and was a member of the New York City Bar Association's Antitrust and Trade Regulation Committee.

Ms. Rushd is admitted in New York, the United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Eighth and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

#### **MURIELLE STEVEN WALSH**

Murielle Steven Walsh joined the Firm as an associate in April 1998 and became partner in January 2007. Ms. Steven Walsh graduated *cum laude* from New York Law School in 1996, where she was the recipient of the Irving Mariash Scholarship. During law school, Ms. Steven Walsh interned with the Kings County District Attorney and worked within the mergers and acquisitions group of Sullivan & Cromwell.

At Pomerantz, Ms. Steven Walsh specializes in securities and corporate governance-related litigation. Ms. Steven Walsh was part of the trial team in *Lewis v. Beall*, a derivative action in California state court that focused on the duties of corporate directors. More recently, in *In re Livent*

*Noteholders' Securities Litigation*, Ms. Steven Walsh was one of the lead attorneys in prosecuting this securities class action against the company's former chief executive officers, and obtained a \$36.6 million judgment against these individuals (which was recently affirmed by the Second Circuit). The Pomerantz Firm also obtained settlements totaling over \$17 million from other defendants in the case.

Ms. Steven Walsh is also part of the team working on the *EBC I v. Goldman Sachs* case, in which the Pomerantz firm obtained a landmark ruling from the New York Court of Appeals - that underwriters owe certain fiduciary duties to their issuer clients.

Ms. Steven Walsh currently serves as a member of the editorial board for Class Action Reports. In the past, she has been involved in political asylum work with the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

Ms. Steven Walsh is admitted in New York and in the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York.

**JOSHUA B. SILVERMAN**

Joshua B. Silverman joined the Pomerantz Firm in April 2006, as Of Counsel. Mr. Silverman is a 1993 graduate of the University of Michigan, where he received *Phi Beta Kappa* honors, and a 1996 graduate of the University of Michigan Law School. He focuses on prosecution of securities fraud class action lawsuits. He was a key member of the Pomerantz team of lawyers in *Stoneridge Investment Partners v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.*

Before joining the Pomerantz Firm, Mr. Silverman was a litigation associate at McGuireWoods LLP and its Chicago predecessor, Ross & Hardies. His practice there focused on

complex commercial litigation including class action commodities fraud, civil RICO and antitrust cases. Mr. Silverman also spent two years as a securities trader.

Mr. Silverman is admitted in Illinois, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Seventh and Eighth Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

**JASON S. COWART**

Jason S. Cowart became associated with the Pomerantz Firm in February 2005. He focuses on the prosecution of securities fraud class actions. Mr. Cowart graduated *cum laude* from Northwestern University Law School in 1999. While in law school, he won the Moot Court competition and was an editor of the Journal of International Law and Business.

After law school, Mr. Cowart served as a law clerk to United States District Court Judge Richard Enslen. Before joining the Pomerantz Firm, Mr. Cowart was a litigation associate at Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP for over four years. During that time, Mr. Cowart concentrated his practice on complex commercial litigation including antitrust, contract, fraud, and health care-related matters.

Mr. Cowart is the co-author of *State Immunity, Political Accountability and Alden v. Maine*, 75 Notre Dame L. Rev. 1069 (2000).

Mr. Cowart is admitted in New York and Washington, D.C., the United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Western District of Michigan, the District of Columbia, and the United States Supreme Court.

**LEIGH HANDELMAN SMOLLAR**

Leigh Handelman Smollar became associated with the Firm in January 2002. She focuses on the prosecution of securities fraud class actions. Ms. Handelman Smollar graduated from Chicago-Kent College of Law in 1996. Upon her graduation, she spent the next 5 years specializing in complex litigation, handling a broad variety of matters.

Ms. Handelman Smollar co-authored an article for the Illinois Institute for Continuing Legal Education (IICLE) entitled "Shareholder Derivative Suits and Stockholder Litigation in Illinois," published in IICLE Chancery and Special Remedies 2004 Practice Handbook. She is also a member of the Illinois State Bar Association.

Ms. Handelman Smollar is admitted in Illinois, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern District of Missouri, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Seventh and Eighth Circuits.

**R. JAMES HODGSON**

Jim Hodgson became associated with the Firm in May 2007. He focuses on the prosecution of securities fraud class actions.

Mr. Hodgson graduated from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 2003. While in law school, he served as a judicial intern to the Honorable John F. Keenan, United States District Judge, Southern District of New York. He also served as a Senior Editor of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review and, upon graduation, was recognized with an award for "Exemplary Public Service while at Penn Law School."

Following law school, Mr. Hodgson was associated with the law firm of Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP for nearly four years. At Fried, Frank, Mr. Hodgson focused his practice

on general commercial and securities litigation, regulatory defense, copyright enforcement, and white-collar criminal defense. In addition, he has tried (on a pro bono basis) numerous asylum law cases on behalf of refugees seeking asylum protection in the United States.

Mr. Hodgson is admitted in New York. He is a member of American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, and Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

**JEREMY A. LIEBERMAN**

Jeremy A. Lieberman became associated with the Firm in August 2004. He focuses on the prosecution of securities fraud class actions. Mr. Lieberman graduated from Fordham University School of Law in 2002. While in law school, Mr. Lieberman served as a staff member of the Fordham Urban Law Journal.

Upon graduation, Mr. Lieberman was a litigation associate at Chadbourne & Parke LLP, where he specialized in complex commercial litigation and products liability.

Mr. Lieberman is admitted in New York. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association.

**FEI-LU QIAN**

Fei-Lu Qian became associated with the Firm in July 2005. He focuses on the prosecution of securities fraud class actions. Mr. Qian graduated from Albany Law School of Union University in 2003. He was Associate Editor of the Albany Law Review. While in law school, he interned at the New York State Office of the Attorney General.

Mr. Qian began his legal career as an associate at Lovell Stewart Halebian LLP, where he specialized in securities litigation.

Mr. Qian is admitted in New York and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

**CAROL A. STRAW**

Carol A. Straw became associated with the Firm in 2007. She focuses on securities fraud class and derivative actions. Ms. Straw is a 1991 graduate of Columbia University School of Law and received her undergraduate degree from Queens College, *cum laude*, with honors in Economics.

Before law school, Ms. Straw interned with the NYC Public Development Corporation under the Mayor's Urban Fellows Program. After law school, she had her own private practice for many years.

Ms. Straw has represented indigent clients in divorce and child support proceedings as a participant in the Brooklyn Volunteer Lawyers Association.

Ms. Straw is admitted in New York and the District of Columbia.

**SUSAN J. WEISWASSER**

Susan J. Weiswasser became associated with the Firm in December 2004. She focuses on health insurance and other class action insurance litigation..

Ms. Weiswasser graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 2000. While in law school, Ms. Weiswasser served as a law clerk intern to the Honorable Edward R. Korman, Chief Judge of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Ms. Weiswasser also served as a legal intern with the New York State Capital Defender Office.

Ms. Weiswasser is admitted in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. She is a member of the New York City Bar Association.